[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Diffusion of scalars across a turbulent energy gradient

M. Iovieno, L. Ducasse, D. Tordella, F. De Santi, S. Di Savino¹ and J. Riley²

¹Politecnico di Torino, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Aeronautica e Spaziale ²Mechanical Engineering Department, University of Washington, WA

Turbulence Mixing and Beyond, Trieste, August 2011 COST Meeting, Warsaw, September 2011

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ . 할 | K 9 Q @

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Turbulent shearless mixing

General flow configuration:

Parameters: Reynolds number, Energy Ratio E_1/E_2 , Scale ratio ℓ_1/ℓ_2

movie

 299

K ロ ▶ K 伊 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

State of the art

- Grid turbulence experiments:

Gilbert *JFM* 1980

Veeravalli-Warhaft *JFM* 1989
	-
	-

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

 \Rightarrow

 2990

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

State of the art

- Grid turbulence experiments:

Gilbert *JFM* 1980

Veeravalli-Warhaft *JFM* 1989
	-
	-

- -
	-
- Numerical experiments:

Briggs et *al. JFM* 1996

Knaepen et *al. JFM* 2004

Tordella-Iovieno *JFM* 2006

Iovieno-Tordella-Bailey *PRE*

2008
► Kang-Meneveau *Phys.Fluids*

2008
Intervals - Tordella-Iovieno *Phys.Rev.Lett.* (under revision)

[Introduction](#page-1-0)

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Main features of mixing layers

Shearless mixing layers shows the following properties:

- no gradient of mean velocity, thus no kinetic energy production
- the mixing is generated by the inhomogeneity in the turbulent kinetic energy and integral scale
- the mixing layer becomes very intermittent at both large and small scales [Tordella-Iovieno *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 2011]
- the presence of a gradient of energy is a sufficient condition for the onset of intermittency [Tordella and Iovieno *JFM* 2006; Tordella et al. *Phys. Rev.* 2008]

KORKARYKERKE POLO

• 2D and 3D mixings: different asymptotic layer thickness growth exponent

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

3D mixing: Self-similarity

$$
E_1/E_2 = 6.7, \ell_1 = \ell_2
$$

 $\Delta(t)$ is the conventional mixing layer thickness, $\Delta(t) \sim t^{0.46}$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー 2990 ÷.

Large scale intermittency

 $u =$ velocity component in the mixing direction

Smax, *Kmax* = maximum of Skewness and Kurtosis in the mixing layer

 η_{max} = normalized position of the maximum in the mixing layer

(Figures: sample data from simulations with $E_1/E_2 = 6.7$, $\ell_1 = \ell_1$, $Re_{\lambda} = 45$

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

 \equiv

 Ω

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Intermittency vs. Energy ratio

We define the penetration as the position of the maximum of the skewness normalized over the mixing layer thickness: $\eta = \frac{x_s(t)}{\Delta(t)}$

> 4 ロ) 4 \overline{B}) 4 \overline{B}) 4 \overline{B}) 4 QQ

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy [Passive scalar](#page-21-0) [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0) [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Velocity derivative

$Re_{\lambda} = 45$ *Re* $\lambda = 150$ (a) (b) -0.2 **HIT** HIT $S_{\partial v/\partial y}$ -0.4 ده. $S_{\partial u/\partial x}$, $\partial v/\partial u$ $\partial u/\partial x$ $\partial v/\partial u$ $\partial u/\partial x$ t/t o c -1 \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} 5.6 79 -1.2 -88 -14 ē $\partial v/\partial y \partial u/\partial x$ t/t 7.5 (c) t s (d) 29 $\partial v/\partial u$ 7 $K_{\partial u/\partial x}, K_{\partial v/\partial y}$ $\partial u/\partial$ a s 5.6 6.5 5.5 6 7.5 5.5 4.5 **HIT** 3.5 $\eta = x/\Delta(t)$ $\eta = x/\Delta(t)$

Phys.Rev.Lett., 2011 (under revision)Phys.Rev.Lett., 2011 (under revision)

K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ

 $2Q$

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

General behaviour

 $\xi = \partial u_i / \partial x_i$, $i = x$, y_1 and y_2 $(Re = 150, t/\tau = 3.5)$

イロト 不優 トイヨト イヨト 一重

 000

Increase of fluid filaments compression in the energy gradient direction, reduction of fluid filaments compression in the other directions

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Small scale anisotropy

Shear flows: large transiversal skewness *Shearless mixings*: strong differentiation of the longitudinal skewness

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0)

[Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

2D - 3D Comparison

イロト 不優 トイヨト イヨト 一重 2990

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0)

[Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

2D - 3D Comparison

2D turbulent diffusion is infinitely grater than 3D diffusion: by defining a diffusion velocity as $v_{\mathcal{D}} = dx_s/dt = \eta d\Delta/dt$ we have $v_{\text{D}} = \propto t^{-0.28}$ $v_{\mathcal{D}} = \propto t^{-0.57}$

movie

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

 $S_{\overline{e} \atop a}$ α 10 10^1 $10⁴$ 10^6 E_1/E_2 $-E/E = 6.6$ $-E$, $/E$ _s=40 $E_7/E_8 = 300$ $S_{\overline{R}^{\underline{a}}^{\underline{z}}}$ $-E_y/E_p = 10^4$ $-E_y/E_y = 10^6$ $\frac{10}{t/\tau}$ 'n 20

Skewness of the velocity component in the inhomogeneous direction for each energy ratio.

 x_c = mixing layer centre

Maximum of the Skewness as a function of the energy ratio and of the time

Skewness

2D mixing

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Kurtosis of the velocity component in the inhomogeneous direction for each energy ratio. x_c = mixing layer centre

Maximum of the kurtosis as a function of the energy ratio and of the time

Kurtosis

30

2D mixing

 4 ロ) 4 何) 4 ヨ) 4 ヨ) 299

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy [Passive scalar](#page-21-0) [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0) [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Uniform kinetic energy, inhomogeneous scale

Physica D, 2011 (in press).

 2990

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Energy gradient generation

イロトス 伊 トスラトスラト・ラー

 2990

Different decay exponents of the homogenous regions ⇒ generation of an *energy gradient*

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Velocity moments

Skewness vs. Kurtosis during the decay

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}$ 2990

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

- [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)
- [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Velocity derivative

Longitudinal derivative Skewness and Kurtosis

Left (a-c): Filled symbols ∂*u*/∂*x*, empty symbols ∂*v*/∂*y*

Þ

K ロ ▶ K 伊 ▶ K ヨ ▶ K ヨ ▶

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Velocity derivative

Longitudinal skewness vs. longitudinal kurtosis

Filled symbols ∂*u*/∂*x*, empty symbols ∂*v*/∂*y*

イロトス 伊 トス ヨ トス ヨ トー QQ

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Conclusions

KORKARYKERKE POLO

Uniform energy - inhomogeneous scale

- different scales generate different decays and then an energy gradient concurrent to the scale gradient
- the transient lifetime of the kinetic energy gradient is almost proportional to the initial scale ratio
- intemittency in the interaction layer grows as the flow decays
- anisotropy and intermittency are, with a certain lag, spread also to small scales
- small scale anisotropy: strong differentiation of the longitudinal skewness but no transversal skewness

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

- [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)
- [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Basic phenomenology

KORK STRAIN A STRAIN A STRAIN

- A passive scalar is a contaminant present in so low concentration that it has no dynamical effect on the fluid motion.
- Turbulence transports the scalar by making particles follow chaotic trajectories and disperses the scalar concentration if exists scalar concentration gradient.
- Fluctuations reach the smaller scales.

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Passive scalar

Basic phenomenology

KORK STRAIN A STRAIN A STRAIN

• at large scales:

- the mean concentration, variance and flux are strongly influenced by the boundary conditions and scalar injection
- at small scales:
	- scalar differences are not gaussian,
	- intermittency observed at inertial range scales as well as at the dissipation scales, with ramp/cliff structures

see, e.g.: Warhaft *Ann.Rev.F.M.* 2000, Shraiman and Siggia, *Nature* 2000, Gotoh, *Phys.Fl.* 2006, 2007.

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Passive scalar transport

イロト 不優 トイヨト イヨト 一重

 Ω

We solve the passive scalar advection-diffusion equation

$$
\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial x_j} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{Re Sc} \nabla^{2n} \vartheta
$$

for the shearless mixing configuration with $E_1/E_2 = 6.6$, $\ell_1 = \ell_2$.

DNS simulations have been performed at $Re_{\lambda} = 150$ in 3D turbulence (600² × 1200 grid points, $n = 1$) and $Re_{\lambda} = 60$ in 2D turbulence (1024² grid points, $n = 2$). Schmidt number $Sc = 1$

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

2D mixing

3D mixing

Passive scalar concentration

2D movie 3D movie

 \Rightarrow

 2990

イロトメタトメモトメモト

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Mean Scalar Diffusion

2D Mixing 3D Mixing

KORK ERKER ADAM ADAM KOR

Energy ratio $E_1/E_2 = 6.6$

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0)

[Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Scalar mixing layer thickness

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

 299

 \equiv

Scalar layer thickness: $\Delta_{\vartheta} = x_{(\vartheta=0.75)} - x_{(\vartheta=0.25)}$ 3D mixing: Δ_θ ∼ *t*^{0.46}, 2D mixing: Δ_θ ∼ *t*^{0.68}

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0)

[Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

$$
\overline{u' \vartheta'} \sim 1/\Delta_{\vartheta}(t)
$$

Scalar flux

イロトメ 倒 トメ 君 トメ 君 トー \mathbb{R}^{n-1} 2990

energy

Scalar variance

イロトス 伊 トス ヨ トス ヨ トー

÷,

 2990

Self-similar distribution, peak shifted toward the high kinetic energy region

[Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy [Passive scalar](#page-21-0) [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0) [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Scalar skewness

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) 2D Mixing 3D Mixing $-8\frac{L}{3}$ -6 -4 -2 \circ 2 F 4 P 6 8 r $\frac{-3}{(x-x_c)}$ -2 -1 0 1 2 3
 $\frac{(x-x_c)}{\Delta \theta}$ *S*θ*t*/τ 1 5 10 20 *scalar flow energy flow* -8 -6 -4 -2 $0₁$ 2 F 4 F 6 F 8 r $\frac{3}{2}$ -2 -1 0 1 2 3
 $(x - x_c)/\Delta_\theta$ *S*θ1 5 $10.$ 12.5 *t*/τ *scalar flow energy flow*

Strong non-gaussian statistic at the mixing layer border 2D: intermittency penetrates more in the direction opposite to the energy gradient.

KORK STRAIN A STRAIN A STRAIN

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0)

[Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy [Passive scalar](#page-21-0) [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0) [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Scalar kurtosis

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}$

 2990

[2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) 2D Mixing 3D Mixing 0 $^{1}_{3}$ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 $(x - x_c)/\Delta_\theta$ *K*^θ *t*/τ 1 $\frac{5}{10}$. 20 *scalar flow energy flow* 0 1 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 $(x - x_c)/\Delta_\theta$ *K*^θ 1 5 10 12.5 *t*/τ *scalar flow energy flow*

2D: higher asymmetry of the peaks.

Intermittency gradually reduces as the mixing procedes

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0)

[Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Small scale intermittency

Scalar derivative skewness

イロトス 伊 トス ヨ トス ヨ トー

 \equiv

 2990

2D: higher asymmetry of the peaks. Intermittency decay faster in 2D

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0)

[Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Spectra in the mixing layer

Compensated scalar and velocity one-dimensional spectra in the same position

 4 ロ) 4 何) 4 ヨ) 4 ヨ)

 299

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0)

[Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Passive scalar - Main remarks

- Growth rate: 2D flow : ($\Delta_{\vartheta} \sim \Delta_E \sim t^{0.68}$), 3D flow : ($\Delta_{\vartheta} \sim \Delta_E \sim t^{0.46}$).
- Self-similar profiles of first and second order moments. The scalar flow is about two times larger in 2D than in 3D. The scalar variance in the center of the mixing layer is 50% higher in 2D case.
- Large intermittency and non-gaussian behaviour on both sides of the mixing, even where the scalar flux is small.
- Larger asymmetry in moment distributions in 2D mixing.

KORKARYKERKE POLO

- intermittency involves also the small scales
- inertial range spectra exponent: scalar: $3D \sim -5/3$, $2D \sim -1.4$, velocity: 3D $\sim -5/3$, 2D ~ -3

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0)

[Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

 2990

- We modify the experiment by adding the effect of a stable stratification
- We create an initial density field by combining two constant density fields

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0)

[Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Stratified flow

- We modify the experiment by adding the effect of a stable stratification
- We create an initial density field by combining two constant density fields

イロトメ 御 メメ きょくきょうきつ

 2990

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0)

[Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Stratified flow

- We modify the experiment by adding the effect of a stable stratification
- We create an initial density field by combining two constant density fields

 $D_0 + \rho$ Î ĵ f j 27 P_{1} Linear **Eluctuation** Total Component Component Density Field

The fluctuation component has periodic boundary condition \Rightarrow The stability of the stratification is guaranteed

イロトス 伊 トス ヨ トス ヨ トー

 2990

Þ

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0)

[Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ ∂**u** $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}$ $\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla \mathbf{p} - \frac{\rho'}{\rho_0}$ $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ **g** + $\nu \nabla^2$ **u** $\partial \rho'$ $\frac{\partial \rho'}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\rho' + \mathbf{v}\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{k}\nabla^2\mathbf{u}$

Formulation

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}$

 Ω

 $\nu = 2.4 \, 10^{-10} m^4/s$, $k = 0.3 \, 10^{-2}$, $Sc \ast = (\nu/(k * l^2)) = 1.32 \, 10^{-4}$

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0)

[Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Using the Boussinesq approximation the equations that describe the problem are:

Formulation

KORKARYKERKE POLO

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ ∂**u** $\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0}$ $\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla \mathbf{p} - \frac{\rho'}{\rho_0}$ $\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ **g** + $\nu \nabla^2$ **u** $\partial \rho'$ $\frac{\partial \rho'}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\rho' + \mathbf{v}\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{k}\nabla^2\mathbf{u}$

 $\nu = 2.4 \, 10^{-10} m^4/s$, $k = 0.3 \, 10^{-2}$, $Sc \ast = (\nu/(k * l^2)) = 1.32 \, 10^{-4}$

- The energy ratio is constant, $E_1/E_2 = 6.6$
- The parameter of the experiment is the Froude number

$$
Fr = \frac{U}{\sqrt{-\frac{g}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial y} L}}
$$

we considered: $Fr = \infty$ (no stratification), $Fr = 10$ (mild stratification), $Fr = 0.1$ (strong stratification) *movie*

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Kinetic Energy

イロトメ 御 トメ きょくきょ

Þ

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

Skewness

[3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy

[Passive scalar](#page-21-0)

[Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)

[Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0)

[Conclusion](#page-42-0)

8

 $\overline{7}$

K₀

5

4 Э

 -5

 $\overset{\rm O}{(y-y_c)/\Delta}$

 $\overset{\rm o}{(y-y_{\rm c})/\Delta}$

Kurtosis

5

З

 $-Fr=10$

5

 $Fr=0.1$

 -5

 $t/\tau=5$

 $-Fr=\infty$

5

 $-Fr=10$

 $Fr = 0.1$

- [3D Velocity statistics](#page-5-0) [2D Velocity statistics](#page-11-0) [Uniform kinetic](#page-15-0) energy
- [Passive scalar](#page-21-0)
- [Mean Scalar](#page-25-0) [Scalar moments](#page-27-0) [Conclusions](#page-33-0)
- [Flow description](#page-34-0) [Velocity moments](#page-39-0) [Conclusion](#page-42-0)

- For small Froude numbers it is formed a separation layer of zero vorticity
- The energy profile in the mixing region is lower than the minimum value imposed by the initial condition, which shows the effect of the buoyancy force work \Rightarrow Energy hole
- The velocity skewness enlightens the generation of an inverse energy flow and intermittent penetration from the low to the high energy field even in the case of mild stratification

KORKARYKERKE POLO

